

**ANALYTIC SOLUTION OF THERMAL SPREADING RESISTANCE:  
GENERALIZATION TO ARBITRARY-SHAPE HEAT SOURCES ON A HALF-SPACE**

**E. Sadeghi\*, M. Bahrami†, and N. Djilali‡**

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Victoria  
Victoria, BC , V8W 2Y2, Canada

**ABSTRACT**

Thermal spreading resistance is an important phenomenon in contacting bodies and local heating e.g. laser heating. In contacting bodies, the real area of contact is typically less than 2% of the nominal contact area. In practice, due to random nature of contacting surfaces, the actual shape of microcontacts is unknown; therefore, it is advantageous to have a model applicable to any arbitrary-shape heat source. Starting from a half-space representation of the heat transfer problem, a compact model is proposed based on the generalization of the analytical solution of the spreading resistance of an elliptical source on a half-space. Using a "bottom-up" approach, unified relations are found that allow accurate calculation of spreading resistance over a wide variety of heat source shapes under both isoflux and isothermal conditions.

**NOMENCLATURE**

- $A$  = area,  $m^2$
- $a$  = major semi-axis,  $m$
- $B(\cdot)$  = beta function
- $b$  = minor semi-axis,  $m$
- $K(\cdot)$  = complete elliptic integral of the first kind, Eq.(4)
- $k$  = thermal conductivity,  $W/mK$
- $\mathcal{L}$  = characteristic length scale,  $m$
- $N$  = number of sides of a regular polygon
- $n$  = geometric parameter for hyperellipse
- $Q$  = heat flow rate,  $W$
- $q$  = heat flux,  $W/m^2$
- $R$  = thermal spreading resistance,  $K/W$

- $\bar{R}$  = average temperature based thermal spreading resistance,  $K/W$
- $R_0$  = centroidal temperature based thermal spreading resistance,  $K/W$
- $R^*$  = non-dimensional spreading resistance
- $R_T$  = thermal spreading resistance, isothermal source,  $K/W$
- $r$  = radius,  $m$
- $\bar{T}$  = average temperature,  $K$
- $T_0$  = centroidal temperature,  $K$

**Greek**

- $\alpha$  = angle,  $rad$
- $\beta$  = length ratio,  $b/a$
- $\Gamma(\cdot)$  = gamma function
- $\varepsilon$  = aspect ratio,  $[-]$
- $\eta$  = length,  $x_c/r$
- $\rho$  = distance in polar coordinate,  $m$
- $\omega$  = angle,  $rad$

**Subscripts**

- $c$  = geometrical center of area

**1 INTRODUCTION**

Spreading resistance, also sometimes referred to as constriction resistance, is commonly encountered in thermal engineering whenever a concentrated heat source is in contact with a larger heat conducting surface. This phenomenon extends also to electric current and mass transfer problems. In this study we focus on thermal spreading resistance which often appears as a bottleneck in heat management, and is of relevance in applications such as integrated circuits and laser heating. In contacting bodies, real interaction between two surfaces occurs only over microscopic contacts [1, 2]. The actual area of contact, i.e. the total area of all microcontacts, is typically less than 2% of the nominal contact area [1, 2]. Thus, heat flow is constricted and then spreads to pass from the contact area to contacting bodies. Thermal spreading resistance plays a vital role in the de-

\*PhD Candidate. Corresponding author. E-mail: ehsans@uvic.ca.

†Assistant Professor and Mem. ASME

‡Professor

sign of numerous thermal, electrical, and electronic devices and systems. Electronic equipment, aircraft structural joints, surface thermocouples, boundary lubrication, nuclear reactors, biomedical industries, and cryogenic liquid storage devices are only a few examples of such systems [3–7].

Assuming dimensions of microcontacts and/or heat sources are small compared with the distance separating them and with the dimensions of the body which heat spreads through, the heat source on a half-space hypothesis can be used [8]. As the microcontacts or heat sources increase in number and grow in size, a flux tube problem should be considered to account for the interference between neighboring microcontacts/heat sources. For an in-depth review of flux tube solutions for spreading resistance see [4, 9–15].

Several researchers including Kennedy [6], Ellison [16], Karmalkar et al. [17], and Pawlik [18] focused on analyzing thermal spreading resistance in electronic devices.

Yovanovich and his co-workers [19–22] investigated a range of steady-state and transient thermal spreading resistance. They proposed thermomechanical models for contact, gap and joint resistances of joints formed by conforming rough surfaces, non-conforming smooth surfaces, and nonconforming rough surfaces [7]. Applying superposition techniques, Yovanovich developed a method to evaluate spreading resistance of different shapes on a half-space and derived found relationships for geometries including singly and doubly connected heat sources such as: hyperellipse, semicircle, triangle, polygon, and annulus. They also introduced the use of the square root of the source area  $\sqrt{A}$  to non-dimensionalize spreading resistance.

Analytical, experimental, and numerical models have been developed to predict thermal spreading resistance since the 1930 s. Several hundred papers on thermal spreading resistance have been published which illustrates the importance of this topic.

In practice, due to the random nature of contacting surfaces, the actual shape of microcontacts is unknown; therefore, it would be beneficial to have a model applicable to any arbitrary-shape heat source. In spite of the rich body of literature on spreading resistance, there is yet no general model which can accurately estimate the spreading resistance of an arbitrary- shape heat source on a half-space, due to the challenge of dealing with complex irregular geometries.

In this study, a compact model is proposed based on the analytical solution of the spreading resistance of an elliptical source on a half-space. Using a "bottom-up" approach, it is shown that for a broad variety of heat source shapes, the proposed model is in agreement with the existing and/or developed analytical solutions.

## 2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider steady-state heat transfer from an arbitrary- shape planar singly connected heat source on a half-space, Fig.1. The temperature field within the half-space must satisfy Laplace's equation,  $\nabla^2 T = 0$ .

Thermal spreading resistance  $R$  is defined as the difference between the temperature of heat source and the temperature of a heat sink far from it divided by the total heat flow rate through the contact area  $Q$ ; i.e.  $R = \Delta T/Q$  [23]. For convenience, the




Figure 1. ARBITRARY-SHAPE HEAT SOURCE ON A HALF-SPACE.

temperature far from the contact area may be assumed to be zero with no loss of generality.

To investigate the trend of different shapes and aspect ratios, it is more convenient to non-dimensionalize spreading resistance in the form of  $R^* = k \mathcal{L} R$ , where  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $R$  are the thermal conductivity of half-space, a characteristic length scale, and the spreading resistance, respectively [20]. Parameters required to define spreading resistance are: reference temperature, characteristic length scale, and boundary condition, see Fig. 2. The reference temperature can be the centroid or average temperature of the source. According to Yovanovich [20], spreading resistance values for hyperelliptical sources vary over a narrower bond when based on the centroidal temperature rather than when based on the average temperature. As is shown later, there is a relationship between the average and the centroid based resistances; for convenience, the average temperature is used as the reference temperature. After examining several possible length scales, we concluded that the square root of the square area  $\sqrt{A}$  is the best choice of characteristic length scale, as Yovanovich proposed [20]. The next parameter is boundary condition; two boundary conditions are considered: isothermal and isoflux. The isoflux boundary condition is easier to apply and solve for. Furthermore, a relationship between these boundary conditions can be established.




Figure 2. PARAMETERS INVOLVED IN SPREADING RESISTANCE SOLUTION.

### 3 CHARACTERISTIC LENGTH SCALE

To non-dimensionalize the spreading resistance, a characteristic length scale is required. Different characteristic length scales are examined in this section. These include perimeter  $P$ , hydraulic diameter ( $D_h = 4A/P$ ), an arbitrarily chosen dimension  $a$ , and the square root of the source area  $\sqrt{A}$ .

An analytical solution exists for hyperellipse shapes in the literature [20]. To compare different characteristic length scales, a hyperellipse source covering a wide variety of geometries is selected. A hyperellipse, in the first quadrant, is described by:

$$y = b[1 - (\frac{x}{a})^n]^{1/n} \quad (1)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are characteristic dimensions along the  $x$  and  $y$  axes, respectively, see Fig. 3. The effect of parameter  $n$  on the shape of the hyperellipse source is also shown in Fig. 3. When  $n = 1$ , the hyperellipse yields a rhombic source ( $a > b$ ), or a square ( $a = b$ ); for  $n = 2$ , the source is elliptical ( $a > b$ ), or circular ( $a = b$ );  $n > 3$ , yields a rectangle ( $a > b$ ) or a square ( $a = b$ ) source with rounded corners; and for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the shape approaches a full rectangle/square source [20].




Figure 3. HYPERELLIPSE HEAT SOURCE IN THE FIRST QUADRANT.

Yovanovich [20] calculated the spreading resistance for hyperelliptical sources. For instance, the non-dimensional spreading resistance with  $\sqrt{A}$  as the characteristic length scale is [20]:

$$k\sqrt{A}R_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon n}{B(\frac{n+1}{n}, n)}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\omega}{[\sin^n \omega + \epsilon^n \cos^n \omega]^{1/n}} \quad (2)$$

where  $B(\cdot)$  is the beta function.

The analytic non-dimensional spreading resistances  $R^*$  obtained using four different characteristic length scales are compared in Fig. 4 (a)-(d) for both rectangular and elliptical sources.

Comparing the trends for the different characteristic length scales, it can be concluded that the square root of area  $\sqrt{A}$  is the superior choice for characteristic length scale. With this choice, the maximum difference between the analytical solutions of elliptical and rectangular sources is less than 6.8%; and in fact for  $\epsilon > 0.4$ , the difference is less than 1.5%. Since elliptical and rectangular sources, corresponding to Eq. (1) with  $n = 2$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , cover a wide range of shapes, it can be concluded that using  $\sqrt{A}$  as a characteristic length scale, non-dimensional spreading resistance of a hyperellipse with any value of  $2 < n < \infty$  differ less than 6.8% with respect to an elliptical source. This implies that the effect of corners on the spreading resistance is not significant for hyperelliptical shapes with identical areas and aspect ratios. Since a hyperellipse covers a wide variety of shapes, the square root of area  $\sqrt{A}$  is the most appropriate characteristic length scale for any arbitrary-shape heat source on a half-space, as Yovanovich suggested [20].

### 4 PROPOSED MODEL

As shown previously, non-dimensional spreading resistances of hyperelliptical sources with equal areas and aspect ratios are close for any value of  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ . Thus, if we select one of these shapes in the model, the spreading resistance of the others can be predicted with good accuracy. The premise of the present model is that the solution for hyperelliptical source can be applied to estimate the spreading resistance of any shape of heat sources when the area and aspect ratio are the same as those of the hyperelliptical source. Since, the analytical solution of the elliptical source ( $n = 2$ ) is more convenient, it is chosen as the basis of the model. According to the present model, an arbitrary-shape heat source is transformed to an elliptical shape where area and aspect ratio are maintained constant, see Fig. 5. The analytical solution for the spreading resistance of an isoflux elliptical source on a half-space can be expressed using the general solution proposed by Yovanovich for a hyperellipse [19]:

$$k\sqrt{A}R_0 = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{K(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2})}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \quad (3)$$

where,  $K(\cdot)$  is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind defined as:

$$K(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{[1 - (1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}) \sin^2 t]}} \quad (4)$$

We propose a definition of the aspect ratio which, though not general, is appropriate for most of shapes:

$$\epsilon = 1 / \left( \frac{\text{maximum length of the shape in arbitrary direction } x}{\text{maximum length in the perpendicular direction to } x} \right) \quad (5)$$




Figure 4. NON-DIMENSIONAL SPREADING RESISTANCE OF RECTANGULAR AND ELLIPTICAL SOURCES WITH THE CHARACTERISTIC LENGTH SCALES: (a) PERIMETER; (b) HYDRAULIC DIAMETER; (c) MAJOR SEMI-AXES; (d) SQUARE ROOT OF AREA.




Figure 5. GEOMETRICAL TRANSFORMATION OF ANY ARBITRARY-SHAPE HEAT SOURCE TO ELLIPTICAL SOURCE.

## 5 COMPARISON WITH ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS

Using the superposition and integral methods proposed by Yovanovich [19], we find analytical solutions for spreading resis-

tance of trapezoidal, rhombic, circular sector, circular segment, and rectangular source with semicircular or round ends as reported in the preceding sections. In this section, the proposed model is compared with available and developed analytical solutions for a wide variety of isoflux heat sources on a half-space.

### 5.1 POLYGONAL SOURCE

The analytical solution for a regular polygonal source with  $N$  sides can be written as [19]:

$$k\sqrt{A}R_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{N}{\tan(\pi/N)}} \ln \frac{1 + \sin(\pi/N)}{\cos(\pi/N)} \quad (6)$$

Figure 6 shows the effect of number of sides  $N$  on the non-dimensional spreading resistance. There is not much difference between the different polygons, and for  $N \geq 6$  the results are essentially the same. Also, the results are compared with the

model for  $\varepsilon = 1$ ; the maximum difference between the analytical solution of polygonal sources and the model is less than 2.2%.




Figure 6. COMPARISON OF POLYGONAL HEAT SOURCE WITH THE MODEL.

## 5.2 TRIANGULAR SOURCE

The analytical solution for an isosceles triangular isoflux source developed by Yovanovich [19] is given by:

$$k\sqrt{AR_0} = \frac{\sqrt{2\beta}}{3\pi} \left[ \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \right] + 2 \sin(\cot^{-1} 2\beta) \times \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_3}{2} \right) \right] \right] \quad (7)$$

where,  $\omega_1 = \tan^{-1}(3/2\beta)$ ,  $\omega_2 = \pi/2 - \cot^{-1}(2\beta)$ ,  $\omega_3 = \pi - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ , and  $\beta = b/a$ .

Choosing a proper aspect ratio is important. The aspect ratio for an equilateral triangle is unity; hence, the aspect ratio that also satisfies the equilateral case is  $\varepsilon = \beta(2/\sqrt{3})$ . The spreading resistance for isosceles triangular source is compared with the model in Fig. 7. Results show good agreement with the model and maximum error is less than 2.2% when  $\varepsilon > 0.1$ .

## 5.3 RHOMBIC SOURCE

A rhombus is a special case of hyperellipse with  $n = 1$ . The spreading resistance for this shape can be evaluated from Eq. (2). A simpler method to calculate it, would be using the superposition technique. The non-dimensional spreading resistance for a rhombic source can be written as:

$$k\sqrt{AR_0} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \sin(\omega_1)}{\pi\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) \right] \quad (8)$$




Figure 7. COMPARISON OF ISOSCELES TRIANGULAR HEAT SOURCE WITH THE MODEL.

where,  $\omega_1 = \tan^{-1} \varepsilon$ ,  $\omega_2 = \pi/2 - \omega_1$ ,  $A = 2ab$ , and  $\varepsilon = b/a$ .




Figure 8. COMPARISON OF RHOMBIC HET SOURCE WITH THE MODEL.

Figure 8 compares the rhombic heat source solution and the model, Eq.(3); except for small value of aspect ratio,  $0 < \varepsilon < 0.25$ , the results agree with the model within 1.7%. The agreement for the lower aspect ratios is within 10%.

## 5.4 TRAPEZOIDAL SOURCE

The trapezoidal cross-section is an important geometry which in the limit when the top side length goes to zero, yields an isosceles triangle. At the other limit when top and bottom sides are equal, it becomes a rectangle/square.

The spreading resistance for a trapezoidal source is found

using superposition technique. The relationship for a trapezoidal source is unwieldy, and is therefore given in the appendix. The comparison of the results with the model for various trapezoidal sources is shown in Fig. 9; again there is good overall agreement with the model and the difference is less than 4% when  $\epsilon > 0.1$ .




Figure 9. COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT TRAPEZOILDAL HEAT SOURCES WITH THE MODEL.

### 5.5 RECTANGULAR SOURCE WITH ROUND ENDS

Rectangular heat source with round ends is a combination of triangular and circular sector sources. Using superposition technique, the exact solution for this source is:

$$k\sqrt{AR_0} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \beta \ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_1}{2}\right)\right] + \sqrt{1 + \beta^2} \tan^{-1} \beta}{\pi \sqrt{(1 + \beta^2) \tan^{-1} \beta + \beta}} \quad (9)$$

where,  $\omega_1 = \tan^{-1} \beta$ ,  $A = 2a^2[(1 + \beta^2) \tan^{-1} \beta + \beta]$ ,  $\beta = b/a$ , and  $\epsilon = \beta/\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}$ .

Figure 10 shows the analytical solution compared with the model. It can be seen that the model can estimate the spreading resistance of this shapes with the maximum error of 2% where  $\epsilon > 0.2$ .

### 5.6 RECTANGULAR SOURCE WITH SEMICIRCULAR ENDS

Rectangular heat source with semicircular ends is a combination of triangular and circular segment sources. Using superposition technique, the exact solution for this source is:




Figure 10. COMPARISON OF "RECTANGULAR HEAT SOURCE WITH ROUND ENDS" WITH THE MODEL.

$$k\sqrt{AR_0} = \frac{2 \beta \ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_1}{2}\right)\right] + \int_0^{\omega_1} (\cos \omega + \sqrt{\beta^2 - \sin^2 \omega}) d\omega}{\pi \sqrt{4\beta + \pi\beta^2}} \quad (10)$$

where,  $\omega_1 = \tan^{-1} \beta$ ,  $A = a^2[4\beta + \pi\beta^2]$ ,  $\beta = b/a$ , and  $\epsilon = \beta/(1 + \beta)$ . It can be seen that the model can predict the spreading resistance for this shape with the maximum error of 2% where  $\epsilon > 0.27$ .




Figure 11. COMPARISON OF "RECTANGULAR HEAT SOURCE WITH SEMICIRCULAR ENDS" WITH THE MODEL.

## 5.7 CIRCULAR SECTOR SOURCE

Circular sector is composed of triangular and non-circular sector sources with the common vertex at the centroid. Using superposition, the exact solution can be written as:

$$k\sqrt{AR_0} = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{\alpha}} \left[ \eta \sin \alpha \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) \right] + \int_0^{\omega_3} (\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2 \omega} - \eta \cos \omega) d\omega \right] \quad (11)$$

where,  $\eta = x_c/r = 2 \sin \alpha / 3\alpha$ ,  $\omega_1 = \pi/2 - \alpha$ ,  $\omega_2 = \tan^{-1} [(1 - \eta \cos \alpha) / (x_c \sin \alpha)]$ ,  $\omega_3 = \pi - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ . The aspect ratio is defined as the ratio of maximum lengths in  $y$  and  $x$  directions, i.e.  $\varepsilon = 2r \sin \alpha / r = 2 \sin \alpha$ .




Figure 12. COMPARISON OF CIRCULAR SECTOR HEAT SOURCE WITH THE MODEL.

The relationship developed for the circular sector source is compared with the model in Fig. 12. It can be observed that for small values of aspect ratios, the error is more than 5%, but for  $\varepsilon > 0.27$  the error becomes less than 5%.

## 5.8 CIRCULAR SEGMENT SOURCE

Circular segment is composed of triangular and non-circular sector sources with the common vertex at the centroid. Using superposition, the exact solution can be expressed as:

$$k\sqrt{AR_0} = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{\alpha - \frac{\sin 2\alpha}{2}}} \left[ (\eta - \cos \alpha) \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \right] + \int_0^{\omega_2} (\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2 \omega} - \eta \cos \omega) d\omega \right] \quad (12)$$

where,  $x_c = \frac{(r/3)(2 \sin \alpha - \cos \alpha \sin 2\alpha)}{\alpha - \sin(2\alpha)/2}$ ,  $\eta = x_c/r$ ,  $\omega_1 = \tan^{-1} [\sin \alpha / (\eta - \cos \alpha)]$ , and  $\omega_2 = \pi - \omega_1$ . The aspect ratio is defined as the ratio of maximum lengths in  $y$  and  $x$  directions. For different value of  $\alpha$ , the aspect ratio becomes:

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \cos \alpha}{2 \sin \alpha} & \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{1 - \cos \alpha}{2} & \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \pi \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The exact solution of the circular segment source is compared with the model in Fig. 13. The results show good agreements with the model over the entire range of aspect ratio.














Figure 13. COMPARISON OF CIRCULAR SEGMENT HEAT SOURCE WITH THE MODEL.

The examined geometries of a heat source on a half-space are compared with the model in Table 1 and Fig. 14. The definition of aspect ratio, proper criteria to use the model, and the maximum relative error with respect to the model is reported in Table 1. The maximum error occurs in small values of aspect ratio,  $\varepsilon \leq 0.01$ ; if aspect ratio is greater than 0.1 the error decreases sharply. As seen in Table 1 and Fig. 14, the model shows good agreement with the analytical solutions for wide variety of shapes, specially when  $\varepsilon > 0.1$ .

## 6 REFERENCE TEMPERATURE

Having established the accuracy of the proposed model provides for the centroidal temperature based spreading resistance of any arbitrary-shape isoflux heat source on a half-space, we

Table 1. COMPARISON AND ACCURACY OF PROPOSED SPREADING RESISTANCE MODEL FOR VARIOUS GEOMETRIES.

cross-section	$\epsilon$	notes	max error
	$\frac{b}{a}$	maximum difference of 2% for $\epsilon > 0.3$	6.8%
	$\frac{b}{a}$	base model	—
	1	very close agreement with the model specially for $N > 6$	2.2%
	$\frac{2\beta^{[1]}}{\sqrt{3}}$	maximum difference of 2.2% for $\epsilon > 0.1$	4.8%
	$\frac{b}{a}$	maximum difference of 1.7% for $\epsilon > 0.25$	11%
	$\frac{h}{a+b}$	2.6% < difference < 4% for $\epsilon > 0.1$	6.8%
	$\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2}}$	maximum difference of 2% for $\epsilon > 0.2$	6.8%
	$\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$	maximum difference of 2% for $\epsilon > 0.27$	6.8%
	$2 \sin \alpha$	maximum difference of 5% $\epsilon > 0.27$	10.8%
	$\frac{1-\cos \alpha}{2 \sin \alpha} : \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{1-\cos \alpha}{2} : \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \alpha$	maximum difference of 2% for $\epsilon > 0.13$	4.6%
<sup>[1]</sup> $\beta = b/a$			

turn our attention to developing a relationship between the centroid temperature and average temperature based spreading resistances. The latter is a commonly used reference and can also be applied to doubly-connected regions.

There is no analytical solution for the isothermal elliptical source in the literature, therefore, this problem was solved numerically in the present study. The results show that the ratio of non-dimensional spreading resistances based on the average and centroid temperatures for elliptical source varies only between 0.8485 and 0.8491, therefore, it remains approximately constant

with and average value

$$\frac{k\sqrt{AR}}{k\sqrt{AR_0}} = \frac{\bar{R}}{R_0} \cong 0.849 \quad (14)$$

The non-dimensional spreading resistance based on the average temperature for elliptical and rectangular sources is shown in Fig. 15. The predicted resistances are indeed very close. Since the ellipse and rectangle are the lower and the upper bounds for






Figure 14. COMPARISON OF ARBITRARY-SHAPE HEAT SOURCES WITH THE MODEL.

the hyperellipse within  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ , it can be concluded that the elliptical source result for non-dimensional spreading resistance based on the average temperature can be used for hyperelliptical source within  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ . Also, Eq. (14) provides an excellent estimate of the ratio  $\bar{R}/R_0$ .




Figure 15. COMPARISON OF AVERAGE TEMPERATURE BASED SPREADING RESISTANCES FOR ELLIPTICAL AND RECTANGULAR HEAT SOURCES.

Since the model provides a good estimate for centroidal temperature based spreading resistance, and Eq. (14) is approximately valid for hyperelliptical shapes covering a wide variety of geometries, Eq. (14) can be used with confidence to predict the ratio of spreading resistance based on the average and centroid temperatures for a broad variety of heat source shapes. Thus, combining Eq. (3) and Eq. (14), the model for the average tem-

perature based spreading resistance reads:

$$k\sqrt{AR} = \frac{1.6974}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{K(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2})}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \quad (15)$$

## 7 BOUNDARY CONDITION

We have so far considered spreading resistance for any isoflux arbitrary-shape heat source on a half-space. Yovanovich [22] developed an analytical solution for an isothermal elliptical source.

$$k\sqrt{AR}_T = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} K(1 - \epsilon^2) \quad (16)$$

Schneider [24] numerically solved Laplace's equation for the rectangular source and reported a correlation in the form of:

$$k\sqrt{AR}_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left[ 0.06588 - \frac{0.00232}{\epsilon} + \frac{0.6786}{(1/\epsilon) + 0.8145} \right] : 0.25 \leq \epsilon \leq 1 \quad (17)$$

A comparison between the solutions of isothermal rectangular and elliptical sources indicates a maximum difference of 1.27% which occurs at  $\epsilon = 1$ , while the solutions are essentially identical for an aspect ratio  $\epsilon$  less than 0.4. Since the isoflux elliptical source which is proposed as the model predicts accurately spreading resistance of any isoflux arbitrary-shape heat source, this suggests that the solution for isothermal elliptical source can be used for a wide variety of isothermal heat sources. Thus, the general form of the model for any arbitrary-shape heat source on a half-space can be expressed as:

$$k\sqrt{AR} = \begin{cases} \frac{1.6974}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{K(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2})}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} & \text{isoflux (average temp.)} \\ \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} K(1 - \epsilon^2) & \text{isothermal} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Figure 16 presents the spreading resistance for isothermal and isoflux boundary conditions calculated using Eq. (18). The ratio of isothermal to isoflux spreading resistance does not change much and remains approximately constant at 0.925 with  $R_{isothermal} / R_{isoflux} \approx 0.925 \pm 0.0005$ . In practice, the boundary condition is a combination of isoflux and isothermal conditions and these provide two bounds for actual thermal spreading resistances.

## 8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Thermal spreading resistance is an important major phenomenon in thermal engineering problems, whenever temperature




Figure 16. PROPOSED MODEL FOR ISOTHERMAL AND ISOFLUX BOUNDARY CONDITIONS.

and cross-sectional area variations exist. In this study, a model based on the generalization of the analytical solution of isoflux elliptical source has been proposed, and analytic solutions were obtained for a variety of complex shapes. The generalized model presented here provides a unified approach for calculating the spreading resistance for a large variety of geometries, and under both isoflux and isothermal conditions. The highlights of the model and results are:

1. The most appropriate characteristic length scale for non-dimensional spreading resistance is square root of area  $\sqrt{A}$ .
2. The spreading resistance for arbitrarily singly connected shapes agrees with the proposed model.
3. The ratio of isothermal to isoflux spreading resistance is approximately 0.931 for a wide range of shapes for different aspect ratios.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful for the financial support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, and the Canada Research Chairs Program.

#### APPENDIX: ISOSCELES TRAPEZOIDAL SOURCE

The spreading resistance for an isosceles trapezoidal source is found using superposition technique. Considering the parameters shown in Fig. 17, the non-dimensional spreading resistance based on the centroidal temperature is expressed as:

$$k\sqrt{A}R_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\overline{OI}(\Omega_2 + \Omega_3) + \overline{OH}\Omega_1 + \overline{OK}\Omega_4}{\sqrt{A}} \quad (19)$$

where,  $\Omega_i = \ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)\right]$ . For  $\theta > 90$ ,  $\Omega_2$  and  $\omega_2$  must be replaced by  $-\Omega_2$  and  $-\omega_2$ , respectively.




Figure 17. CROSS SECTION OF AN ISOSCELES TRAPEZOIDAL HEAT SOURCE.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Greenwood and B. P. Williamson, "Contact of nominally flat surfaces," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 295, pp. 300–319, Dec. 1966.
- [2] D. Tabor, *The Hardness of Metals*. London E.C.4, UK: Oxford University Press, 1951.
- [3] A. K. Das and S. S. Sadhal, "Thermal constriction resistance between two solids for random distribution of contacts," *Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 35, pp. 101–111, 1999.
- [4] A. A. Rostami, A. Y. Hassan, and P. C. Lim, "Parametric study of thermal constriction resistance," *Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 37, pp. 5–10, 2001.
- [5] Y. Muzychka, M. Yovanovich, and J. Culham, "Application of thermal spreading resistance in compound and orthotropic systems," in *AIAA2001-0366, AIAA 39th Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit*, (Reno, NV), Jan. 2001.
- [6] D. P. Kennedy, "Spreading resistance in cylindrical semiconductor devices," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 31, pp. 1490–1497, Aug. 1960.
- [7] M. M. Yovanovich, "Four decades of research on thermal contact, gap, and joint resistance in microelectronics," *IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 182–206, 2005.
- [8] A. M. Clausing and B. T. Chao, "Thermal contact resistance in a vacuum environment," *Heat Transfer*, vol. 87, pp. 243–251, 1965.
- [9] L. C. Roess, *Theory of Spreading Conductance*. 1950.
- [10] B. B. Mikic and W. M. Rohsenow, "Thermal contact conductance," tech. rep., Univ. of Massachusetts, Dept. of Mech. Eng. MIT, Cambridge, MA, NASA Contract No. NGR 22-009-065, 1966.
- [11] M. G. Cooper, B. B. Mikic, and M. M. Yovanovich, "Thermal contact conductance," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 12, pp. 279–300, 1969.
- [12] R. D. Gibson, "The contact resistance of a semi-infinite cylinder in a vacuum," *Journal of Applied Energy*, vol. 2, pp. 57–65, 1976.
- [13] K. J. Negus and M. M. Yovanovich, "Application of the

- method of optimized images to the steady three dimensional conduction problems,” in *ASME*, 84-WA/HT-110.
- [14] Y. Muzychka, M. Yovanovich, and J. Culham, “Thermal spreading resistances in rectangular flux channels part 1: Geometric equivalences,” in *AIAA2003-4187, 36th AIAA Thermophysics Conference*, (Orlando, FL), 2003.
- [15] Y. Muzychka, M. Yovanovich, and J. Culham, “Thermal spreading resistance in compound and orthotropic systems,” *AIAA Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 45–51, 2004.
- [16] G. N. Ellison, “Maximum thermal spreading resistance for rectangular sources and plates with nonunity aspect ratios,” *IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 439–454, 2003.
- [17] S. Karmalkar, P. V. Mohan, H. P. Nair, and R. Yeluri, “Compact models of spreading resistances for electrical/thermal design of devices and ics,” *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 1734–1743, 2007.
- [18] M. Pawlik, “Spreading resistance: a quantitative tool for process control and development,” *Vacuum Science and Technology B: Microelectronics and Nanometer Structures*, vol. 10, pp. 388–396, Jan. 1992.
- [19] M. M. Yovanovich, “Thermal constriction resistance of contacts on a half-space: Integral formulation,” *Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics: Radiative Transfer and Thermal Control*, vol. 49, pp. 397–418, 1976.
- [20] M. M. Yovanovich, S. S. Burde, and J. C. Thompson, “Thermal constriction resistance of arbitrary planar contacts with constant flux,” *Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics: Thermophysics of Spacecraft and Outer Planet Entry Probes*, vol. 56, pp. 127–139, 1976.
- [21] M. M. Yovanovich and S. S. Burde, “Centroidal and area average resistances of nonsymmetric, singly connected contacts,” *AIAA Journal*, vol. 15, pp. 1523–1525, Oct. 1977.
- [22] M. M. Yovanovich, “Thermal constriction resistance between contacting metallic paraboloids: Application to instrument bearings,” *Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics: Heat Transfer and Spacecraft Control*, vol. 24, pp. 337–358, 1971.
- [23] H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger, *Conduction of Heat in Solids*. London, UK: Oxford University Press, second ed., 1959.
- [24] G. E. Schneider, “Thermal resistance due to arbitrary dirichlet contacts on a half-space,” *Prog. Astronaut. Aeronaut. Thermophys. Therm. Control*, vol. 65, pp. 103–119, 1978.